BP for learning networks with hidden units

C. Battistin², Y. Roudi^{1,2}, J. Tyrcha³, J. Hertz¹

1. NORDITA, KTH Royal Institute of Technology and Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden 2. Kavli Institute for Systems Neuroscience and Centre for the Biology of Memory, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway 3. Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

1. The model

Kinetic Ising model provides an useful platform for studying learning and inference in non-equilibrium models with potential applications to high-throughput data analysis.

The aim of this work is to provide an efficient algorithm for inferring the statistics of hidden units and to study its performances in reconstructing partially observed networks with sparse connectivity.

In particular we considered a network of N_y observed and N_h hidden binary units, whose synchronous dynamics is defined by the transition probability:

$$P[\mathbf{s}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}] = \prod_{t} \frac{\exp\left[\sum_{i} s_{i}(t+1)h_{i}(t)\right]}{\prod_{i} 2\cosh\left[h_{i}(t)\right]} \times \frac{\exp\left[\sum_{a} \sigma_{a}(t+1)b_{a}(t)\right]}{\prod_{a} 2\cosh\left[b_{a}(t)\right]}$$

where $\sigma_{a}(t)$ (a=1,...,N_h) are the states of hidden units, $s_i(t)$ (i=1,...,N_y) are the visible states, while:

$$h_{i}(t) = \sum_{j} J_{ij} s_{j}(t) + \sum_{b} K_{ib} \sigma_{b}(t)$$

$$b_{a}(t) = \sum_{j} L_{aj} s_{j}(t)$$
Visible units
Hidden units
Hidden units

The likelihood of the observed time series **s** is factorizable in independent traces over $\sigma(t)$:

t-1

$$P_{t}[\mathbf{s}] = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \frac{\exp\left[\sum_{i} s_{i}^{+} \left(\sum_{j} J_{ij} s_{j} + \sum_{b} K_{ib} \sigma_{b}\right) + \sum_{a,j} \sigma_{a} L_{aj} s_{j}^{-}\right]}{\prod_{i} 2 \cosh\left[\sum_{j} J_{ij} s_{j} + \sum_{b} K_{ib} \sigma_{b}\right] \prod_{a} 2 \cosh\left[\sum_{j} L_{aj} s_{j}^{-}\right]}$$

whe

t+1

Introducing the replicas Using the relation:

$$(2\cosh\left[f_{i}\right])^{n} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \exp\left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \sum_{i} \tau_{i}^{\alpha} f_{i}\right]$$

where:

$$f_i = \sum_j J_{ij} s_j + \sum_b K_{ib} \sigma_b$$

we rephase our problem in to an equilibrium one at each time step, at the cost of introducing $n \rightarrow -1$ replicas of N₀ hidden units τ .



Under replica-symmetry assumption, gradient ascent learning provides us the following update rules for the couplings:

$$\Delta J_{ij} \propto s_i^+ s_j - m_i s_j$$

$$\Delta K_{ib} \propto s_i^+ \mu_b - \langle \tau_i \sigma_b \rangle$$

$$\Delta L_{aj} \propto \mu_a s_j^- - \tanh\left[\sum_b L_{bj} s_j^-\right] s_j^-$$

where $m_i = \langle \tau_i \rangle$ and $\mu_a = \langle \sigma_a \rangle$.
SusP equations:

$$\mu_a = \tanh\left[\sum_j L_{aj} s_j^- + s_j^+ K_{ja} - \tanh^{-1}\left[t_{ja} m_j^a\right]\right]$$

 $m_i = \tanh\left[\sum_j J_{ij} s_j + \sum_b \tanh\left[t_{ib} \mu_b^i\right]\right]$
 $\langle \tau_i \sigma_a \rangle = \frac{\partial m_i}{\partial b_a^-} + m_i \mu_a$
where $t_{ja} = \tanh\left[K_{ja}\right]$ and $b_a^- = \sum_j L_{aj} s_j^-$



2. Learning and inference

Exponential drop of the RMSE between replica and message passing magnetizations and correlations in the fraction of visible units







 $N_{u}+N_{h}=20$,T=10² time steps, 10⁵ realizations of the couplings; sparsness(c)=0.1, g=1.





Strong agreement between reconstructed and true couplings.





The normalized RMSE scales as $1/\sqrt{T}$ with the data length.





Supported by the Kavli Foundation and the Norwegian Research Council Centre of Excellence Grant

NORDITA

THE KAVLI FOUNDATION

Strong connectivity in fully connected networks make reconstru-



0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12 0.14 0.16

 $N_{i}=20$, $N_{i}=4$, 20 realizations of the couplings. Clockwise from top left: g=1, c=1.;

3. Conclusions and further developments

The algorithm shows good performances on sparse networks with strong couplings. Analogous results have been obtained for binary

Regularization of the learning could prevent reconstructed cou-

Time consuming and unstable SusP two point function can be

It would be interesting to establish how the RMSE scales with the

We will compare the performances of BP with mean field methods and use mean field magnetizations as starting values for the hidden magnetizations.